



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS:1963-A

POSSONIE SKONOSTIETO PODOŽIJE KANTONIM KONOSTANIA I SKONOSTA. SKONOSTANIA PODOŽIJA PODOŽIJA PODOŽIJA PODOŽIJA



PREDICTED NO₂ IR CHEMILUMINESCENCE
IN THE NATURAL ATMOSPHERE

S. Adler-Golden Spectral Sciences, Inc. 111 So. Bedford Street Burlington, MA 01803

Scientific Report No. 1



13 May 1986

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Air Force Geophysics Laboratory Air Force Systems Command United States Air Force Hanscom Air Force Base, MA 01731

THE FILE COPY

"This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication"

ARTHUR CORMAN

Contract Manager

ROBERT R. O'NEIL, Chief Infrared Dynamics Branch

FOR THE COMMANDER

RANDALL E. MURPHY, Director Infrared Technology Division

This report has been reviewed by the ESD Public Affairs Office (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NITS).

Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Technical Information Center. All others should apply at the National Technical Information Service.

If your address has changed, or if you wish to be removed from the mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify AFGL/DAA, Hanscom AFB, MA 01731. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

	REPORT DOCUM	MENTATION	PAGE		
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		N/A 3. DISTRIBUTION	/AVAILABILITY	OF REPORT	
N/A		8			
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDU N/A	PLE		on unlimite	-	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	R(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT NUME	ER(S)
SSI-TR-110		AFGL-TR-8	6-0099		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORG	ANIZATION	
Spectral Sciences, Inc.	(W applicable) N/A	Air Force	Geophysics	s Laborato	ory
6c. ADDRESS (C'ty, State, and ZIP Code)	1				
111 South Bedford Street		Infrared	Technology	Division	
Burlington, MA 01803					1731
Ba. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL Of applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT I	DENTIFICATION	NUMBER
Air Force Geophysics Lab	LS	F19628-84	-C-0029		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUMBE	RS	
Hanscom Air Force Base. MA Ol	731	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO
nanocom nii Totee Base, nii	751	61102F	2310	OG	BF
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)					
Predicted NO, IR Chemilumineso	ence in the Natu	ıral Atmosph	ere	RIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 199 RUNG ORGANIZATION Physics Laboratory Re, and ZIP Code) mology Division orce Base, MA 01731 RUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 1029 NG NUMBERS JECT TASK NO. OG 15. PAGE COU 10 RESERVE and Identify by block no uminescence	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) S. Adler-Golden	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME C	OVERED	14 DATE OF BER	OF Mar Month	One) hs pa	GE COUNT
	b. 86 то Мау 86	86MAY13			
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION N/A					
17. COSATI CODES	T 10 CHOICE TERMS #	Pagina an anna	n # an-aman a	and delegateds the	Most sushed
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	18. SUBJECT TERMS R	January on revers	e ir necessary ar	no rosmony by	arocx number)
N/A	Infrared Bad	kground, Ch	emilumineso	ence	İ
	Infrared Em:				
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	and identify by block r	umber)			
			n		
from the NO + O and NO + O	recent theoreti	ical study o	f NO IR Ch	nemilumine	scence
IR radiance model. Prediction	eactions are emp.	loyed in a n	ew upper at	cmospneric	100°_{2}
integrated NO chemiluminescen	it radiance in fo	our vibratio	nal hands	and compa	rison
is made with previous model pr	edictions.		,	опо сощро	250
	V				
	,				
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	_	******	CURITY CLASSIF	CATION	
☑ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	RPT. DTIC USERS	UNCLASS I		4) 1220 DESIG	E SYMBOL
A. Corman		(617) 377-	•	AFGL/L	_
DD SORM 1473 MANA 83 A	PR edition may be used up				

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section		Page
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	CURRENT KNOWLEDGE OF THE NO2 CHEMILUMINESCENCE MECHANISM .	2
3.	MODEL DESCRIPTION	4
4.	RESULTS	6
5 .	DISCUSSION	8
6.	REFERENCES	10

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
1.	Atmospheric Input Data	. 6
2.	Calculated IR Band Radiance	. 7
3.	Calculated Column-Integrated Radiance	. 7



Acce	sion For		1
DTIC Unan	CRA&I TAB nounced ication		
By Distrit	oution/		
	vailability Co	odes	\dashv
Dist	Avail and/ Special		-
A-1			

1. INTRODUCTION

It has been proposed that NO_2 chemiluminescence from the NO + O and NO+ 03 reactions would be a significant source of atmospheric background IR radiance in a disturbed atmosphere. (1,2) Predicting this radiance requires a reliable model of ${\rm NO}_2$ IR emission in addition to knowledge of the species concentrations. Several radiance models have been proposed, each of which uses different assumptions and gives significantly different results. Archer's model (1) assumes a spectral intensity used in the WOE code, (3)based on "theoretical considerations of energy conservation." This spectrum gives all 3 fundamental bands a comparable intensity, and also includes numerous overtone and combination bands, resulting in substantial radiance throughout the LWIR. A second model, due to Kofsky et al., (2.4) is based on experimental data which observes roughly a 10:1 ratio of v_3 to $v_1 + v_3$ intensity, with no other bands included. Finally, a more sophisticated, room temperature model for v_3 and $v_1 + v_3$ chemiluminescence has recently been developed by the author, (5,6) which gives excellent agreement with laboratory data at pressures in the 0.02 - 2 torr range.

In this Report a simple NO_2 IR chemiluminescence model is developed for use at the pressures and temperatures found in the upper atmosphere. The model is used to calculate radiance in the natural atmosphere in the 80 - 135 km range, where comparison can be made with the predictions of Kofsky et al. (2) Since the radiance is proportional to the NO density, the calculation may be extrapolated to the conditions of elevated NO found in a disturbed atmosphere.

The current model is based on the author's previous work, $^{(5,6)}$ and gives similar results at laboratory pressures. At very low pressures there are factor-of-3 differences for the ν_3 and $\nu_1+\nu_3$ bands, however, and furthermore the current model includes additional bands (ν_1 and ν_2) not included previously.

2. CURRENT KNOWLEDGE OF THE NO2 CHEMILUMINESCENCE MECHANISM

As discussed elsewhere, (5,6) available data on NO_2 chemiluminescence is consistent with the following mechanism:

1. The NO + O reaction initially yields an activated complex that appears to possess energy equipartition between its electronic and vibrational modes. This complex relaxes either radiatively (via continuum emission) or collisionally to the ground electronic state, with vibrational equipartition maintained in either case as a consequence of chaotic dynamics in the excited electronic ($^2\mathrm{B}_2$) state. In the low pressure limit the vibrational distribution following continuum emission is characterized by an average energy of about 5000 cm $^{-1}$. These conclusions are derived from the shapes and absolute intensities of the continuum and IR band spectra in the NO + O reaction.

AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

- 2. The NO + 0_3 reaction directly yields NO₂ in vibrational equipartition. The nascent state distribution is close to a statistical RRHO distribution, with an average energy of about 6000 cm⁻¹. These conclusions are derived from trajectory calculations⁽⁷⁾ and are fully consistent with the shapes and absolute intensities of the continuum and IR band spectra in the NO + 0_3 reaction.
- 3. During collisional relaxation of vibrationally excited NO_2 , equipartition between the ν_1 and ν_3 modes is approximately maintained, resulting in a ~10:1 ratio of ν_3 to $\nu_1+\nu_3$ emission. This indicates that ν_1 and ν_3 quanta are collisionally removed with comparable rates. This behavior is consistent with either rapid intermode V-V coupling, or similar V-R.T rates for ν_1 and ν_3 , or, as suggested by studies of vibrational deactivation in other triatomics, (8^{-10}) a compromise between the two mechanisms. No information is available on the relaxation of ν_2 . In triatomics such as O_3 , (8) CO_2 , (9) and OCS, (10) the rate of intermode coupling is roughly comparable to the rate of relaxation of the ν_2 mode, leading to similar effective quenching rates for all 3 vibrational modes. Similar behavior would be expected for NO_2 .

- 4. NO_2 reacts with atomic oxygen at a rate of 0.9-1.5x 10^{-11} cm³ molec⁻¹ sec⁻¹, depending on vibrational excitation. Using typical N_2 , O_2 and O atom densities in the 100-135 km altitude range, where NO_2 vibrational emission should peak, and assuming NO_2 vibrational quenching rates measured in the laboratory for N_2 and O_2 , (11,12) it is seen that most of the collisional removal of NO_2 (v) proceeds via reaction with O rather than physical quenching. This means that even if the assumption of equal quenching rates for the 3 vibrational modes is inaccurate, the impact on the column-integrated atmospheric radiance is small.
- 5. The Einstein A coefficients for emission are consistent with harmonic oscillator scaling rules; i.e., A ~ v for fundamental bands. In this approximation, and neglecting overtone and combination bands, the rate constant for radiative removal of energy from a given mode is simply the Einstein coefficient of the v=1 fundamental.

3. MODEL DESCRIPTION

The model employs equations similar to Archer's Eq. (B-4), (1)

$$I(j) = \{NO\}\{O\}\{k_2 + \sum_{i} k_{3i}\{M_i\}\} + (j)Q(j)$$
 (1)

for the NO + O reaction, and

いいとうのないのであるというとは、自己というのでは、自己のないのでは、自己のなっているので、自己のないのでは、自己のないのでは、自己のないのでは、自己のないのでは、自己のないのでは、自己のないのでは、

$$I(j) = [NO][O_3]k_1 + (j)Q(j)$$
 (2)

for the NO + O reaction, where I(j) is the radiance of band j in photons/cm³, k_1 is the NO + O₃ rate constant, k_2 and k_3 are the 2-body and 3-body NO + O rate constants. $\phi(j)$ is the quantum yield, and Q(j) is the quenching factor, given by

$$Q(j) = A_{j} / (\sum_{i} q_{i} [M_{i}] + k_{0} [0] + A_{j})$$
(3)

where A_j is the Einstein coefficient of band j, q_i is the vibrational quenching rate constant for species M_i , and k_0 is the rate of reaction with 0 atoms, taken as 1×10^{-11} cm³ molec⁻¹ sec⁻¹.

The rate constants k_1 , k_2 and k_3 have room temperature values given previously.⁽⁵⁾ The temperature dependences are taken from Sharp⁽¹³⁾ for the NO + O reaction, and Borders and Birks⁽¹⁴⁾ for the NO + O₃ reaction.

Assuming that 5000 cm⁻¹ of vibrational energy is deposited in NO₂ in both reactions, and assuming equipartition among the 3 vibrational modes, the quantum yields are 1.1, 2.2, and 1.0 for ν_1 , ν_2 , and ν_3 , respectively. q_i is taken as 5×10^{-13} cm³ molec⁻¹ sec⁻¹ for M = O_2 and 2×10^{-13} for M = N_2 . (11,12) The Einstein coefficients are taken as 110 sec⁻¹ for ν_3 (Ref. 5) and 0.02 sec⁻¹ for ν_2 , based on the measured band strength. (15) The Einstein coefficient for the very weak ν_1 band is unknown, since a reliable

band strength is not available. For the sake of argument we take the value $0.01~\text{sec}^{-1}$, which probably is an upper limit.

Unfortunately, we do not have a simple way of estimating effective quantum yields for overtone or combination bands. For the present we shall take the $\nu_1+\nu_3$ band intensity as 0.1 of the ν_3 intensity, consistent with both experiments and modeling at laboratory pressures. This ratio might change slightly under upper atmospheric conditions, however. We assume that emission from other 2-quantum bands is much smaller than $\nu_1+\nu_3$ emission, and can be neglected.

The current formulation is fully consistent with the previous model calculations(5) at laboratory pressures. However, it yields factor-of-3 smaller v_3 and v_1+v_3 radiances in the low pressure limit. This is because the previous model assumed essentially instantaneous intermode vibrational mixing, causing virtually all of the emitted vibrational energy to appear in v_3 . The present model represents the opposite point of view, and assumes that the energy initially apportioned to each mode (i.e., 1/3 of the available vibrational energy) cannot be radiated by another mode. This also appears to be the point of view of the WOE spectrum used by Archer. Put another way, the previous model assumed an effective v_2 quantum yield 3x as large, and an effective Einstein coefficient 1/3 as large. neglect of intermode mixing in the present model means that the v_3 radiance may be underestimated and that the predicted ν_1 and ν_2 radiances may be overestimated in the low pressure, low 0 atom limit. However, as explained in paragraph 4, of Section 2, the column-integrated NO2 radiance should be insensitive to details of vibrational relaxation.

4. RESULTS

 ν_1 , ν_2 , ν_3 and $\nu_1+\nu_3$ band radiances were calculated using the altitudes and nighttime 0, NO and O_3 densities shown in Table II-3 of Kofsky et al.⁽²⁾ The N_2 and O_2 densities and temperature were taken from the U. S. Standard Atmosphere.⁽¹⁶⁾ The input data are given in Table 1, and the computed radiances are given in Table 2. Integrated zenith and 95 km limb radiances were computed for the ν_3 , $\nu_1+\nu_3$, and ν_2 bands, and are given in Table 3 in Kofsky's units of w/km^2-sr . For the ν_3 and $\nu_1+\nu_3$ bands these values turn out to be a factor of 3 to 5 lower than Kofsky's. We note that Kofsky assumed slightly different ν_3 and $\nu_1+\nu_3$ quantum yields, and neglected $NO_2(\nu)$ quenching and reactive removal as well as temperature dependence of k_2 . Both studies find that the contribution from the NO + O_3 reaction is negligible at these altitudes.

TABLE 1. ATMOSPHERIC INPUT DATA

Altitude/km	N_2/cm^{-3}	$0_2/cm^{-3}$	<u>0/cm⁻³</u>	03/cm-3	NO/cm ⁻³	T/OK
80	3.0E14	8.1E13	3.E10	2.E8	8.E6	199
90	5.5E13	1.5E13	2.E11	2.E8	1.E7	187
100	9.2E12	2.2E12	5.E11	3.E7	4.E7	195
110	1.6E12	2.6E11	2.E11	2.E6	5.E7	240
120	3.7E11	4.4E10	1.E11	2.E5	3.E7	360
135	8.7E10	8.6E9	4.E10	2.E4	8.E6	517

TABLE 2. CALCULATED IR BAND RADIANCE (photons/cm3-sec)

<u>!</u>	NO + 0	Reactio	<u>n</u>		<u>N</u>	0 + 03	Reac	tion
Alt./km.	<u>v</u> 1	$\frac{v_2}{}$	<u>v</u> 3	$\frac{\nu_1+\nu_3}{2}$	$\frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2}$	<u>v</u> 2	<u>v</u> 3	<u>ν₁+ν</u> 3
80	0.0	0.1	12	1.2	0.0	0.0	2	0.2
90	0.3	0.9	37	3.7	0.0	0.1	2	0.2
100	1.6	5.3	92	9.2	0.0	0.1	3	0.3
110	1.6	5.3	31	3.1	0.0	0.1	1	0.1
120	1.9	5.9	17	1.7	0.0	0.1	0	0.0
135	0.7	2.0	3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0	0.0

TABLE 3. CALCULATED COLUMN-INTEGRATED RADIANCE (w/km²-sr)

	$\underline{v_2}$	ν_3	v_1+v_3
zenith	0.00025	0.005	0.0008
Ref. 2		0.02	0.002
95 km limb	0.008	0.2	0.03
Ref. 2		1.0	0.1

5. DISCUSSION

Although the present model uses equations similar to Archer's, and yields a similar spectrum in the collision-free limit, a very important difference is the use of different Einstein coefficients (hence, different quenching factors) for each band. This results in spectra which are strongly dominated by ν_3 and ν_1 - ν_3 , as predicted by Kofsky et al. The WOE-type spectrum, which shows comparable emission in all bands, is achieved only at the highest altitudes, where the intensity is weak due to the falloff of NO and O densities.

A qualitative explanation for the altitude dependence of the vibrational band radiance in the 100-120 km range is as follows. Because of the large ν_3 Einstein coefficient, this band is essentially unquenched, hence it roughly tracks the [0][NO] product, which peaks at 110 km. On the other hand, the ν_1 and ν_2 bands are efficiently removed by 0 atoms, hence these bands roughly track [NO], which is nearly constant at these altitudes. At lower and higher altitudes different considerations apply. At 135 km all the bands are unquenched. Below 100 km all the bands are quenched, and in addition the 3-body contribution to the NO + 0 reaction is important.

Since the v_3 and $v_1 + v_3$ radiances are governed by parameters which are ultimately tied to laboratory data, the uncertainty in these bands is probably comparable to the factor of 2 estimated in the previous model study of NO₂ vibrational emission. (5) The uncertainty for the ν_2 band is probably larger, since this band has not been chemiluminescence. The uncertainty arises mainly in our estimate of the v_2 quantum yield, which may lead to an overall uncertainty of perhaps a factor of 4, the lower end of the range being more probable than the higher end. The v_1 band radiance is even more uncertain because of the unknown Einstein coefficient, but in any case it will be small enough to be of negligible importance.

The ν_3 and ν_1 + ν_3 band radiances may be combined with experimental or calculated spectral shapes (e.g., Ref.5) to yield approximate radiances per micron. It should be noted that these spectra, particularly ν_1 + ν_3 , are broadened and red shifted from the corresponding cold bands. The ν_2 band should exhibit similar effects.

SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

6. REFERENCES

- 1. D. H. Archer, "Requirements for Improved Infrared Prediction Capability: LWIR," Mission Research Corporation, Rpt. No. MRC-R-583, DN5471F (1980).
- 2. I. L. Kofsky, J. L. Barrett, and L. McVay, "Infrared Radiance Structure of the Aurora and Airglow," AFGL-TR-82-0220 ADA130468, Air Force Geophysics Laboratory, Hanscom AFB, MA (1982).
- 3. T. L. Stephens, General Electric-TEMPO (1979), cited in reference 1.
- 4. I. L. Kofsky and J. L. Barrett, submitted to <u>Journal of Spacecraft</u> and Rockets.
- 5. S. M. Adler-Golden, "Analysis of NO₂ Vibrational Chemiluminescence from the NO + O and NO + O₃ Reactions," Eighth Quarterly Technical Report, SSI-TR-101, Spectral Sciences, Inc., under AFGL Contract No. F19628-84-C-0029 (January, 1986).
- 6. S. M. Adler-Golden, "Analysis of NO₂ Continuum Chemiluminescence in the NO + O and NO + O₃ Reactions," Ninth Quarterly Technical Report, Spectral Sciences, Inc., under AFGL Contract No. F19628-84-C-0029 (April, 1986).
- 7. R. Viswanathan and L. Raff, J. Phys. Chem., 87, 3251 (1983).
- 8. S. M. Adler-Golden and J. I. Steinfeld, Chem. Phys. Lett., 76, 479 (1980).
- 9. D. C. Clary, Chem. Phys., 65, 247 (1982).
- 10. M. L. Mandich and G. W. Flynn, J. Chem. Phys., 73, 1265 (1980).
- 11. K. K. Hui and T. A. Cool, J. Chem. Phys., 68, 1022 (1978).
- 12. M. F. Golde and F. Kaufman, Chem. Phys. Lett., 29, 480 (1974).
- 13. W. E. Sharp, Planet. Space Sci., 32, 257 (1984).
- 14. R. A. Borders and J. W. Birks, J. Phys. Chem., 86, 3295 (1982).
- 15. V. M. Devi et al., J. Mol. Spectrosc., 88, 251 (1981).
- 16. <u>U. S. Standard Atmosphere</u>, 1976. NOAA, Washington, D. C., (October 1976).

MAGIC TRANSPORTER TOTAL THE STATES STATES STATES OF STATES STATES STATES STATES AND AND ASSESSED ASSESSED A 1991 Marked Markey American accessed recessed